

Meta Analysis of Conceptualizing Clinical Legal Education Practice (CLEP) in Higher Education Institution

Guanqun, G.¹ & Zainudin, Z.²

¹Qingdao Film Academy, Shandong CHINA

²*Faculty of Education and Liberal Studies, City University, MALAYSIA

*Corresponding Author: dr.zaheril@city.edu.my

To Cite This Article: <https://doi.org/10.53797/icccmjssh.v3i5.1.2024>

Received 17 July 2024, Revised 31 July 2024, Accepted 14 August 2024, Available online 16 August 2024

Abstract: The Clinical Legal Education Practice (CLEP) in law education courses constitutes one of the most influential and valuable pedagogical strategies, as well as a critical area of research for efficiently delivering legal understanding. Thus, this article articulates the term and idea of CLEP, which is fundamentally practicing law on a non-profit basis for a purpose of helping the needs of the public as well as an assortment of individuals in society who have limited access to legal assistance. Based on meta-analysis investigation, this article conceptualized a few aspects. This includes the defining CLEP; pedagogical differences between the Socratic method and experiential learning aspirations of CLEP; benefits of CLEP; and challenges of CLEP. Aside from that, this article discusses the long history of CLEP in several countries, including China, India, and the United States of America (USA). Finally, given the scope of its significance of growing CLEP throughout the globe, it accomplishes two distinct goals: to give students hands-on legal expertise in addition to theoretical legal understanding, and to help individuals in society in demanding justice in the law. However, one of the biggest issues now confronting the legal system in higher education institutions is a shortage of experienced legal academics to accommodate the enormous number of students to legal education.

Keywords: Meta-analysis, clinical legal education practice (CLEP), higher education institutions

1. Introduction

In judicial teaching methods, two strategies are commonly employed by law teachers to provide teaching and develop law skills and understanding for students. Furthermore, most educational institutions continue to adopt the Socratic technique. Nevertheless, teaching judicial understandings and skills simply through the Socratic approach is insufficient. A law course educator must guarantee students have the necessary legal abilities for a profession. Thus, this article is being performed to learn more about clinical legal education practice (CLEP) that takes several forms, including mootings, client counselling, and legal writing.

Every single time a student learns law courses enters a lecture classroom expects to gain legal knowledge at the optimum. Learning and knowing the legal theories and applying using the Socratic method is inarguably beneficial to students. Ordinary practice is that a student is given a set of facts containing legal issues. Students are required to analyze these facts and ascertain therefrom the legal issues (Singh, 2022).

Considering legal ideas and judicial agency that the learners learned during lectures, students attempted to solve legal challenges. A student will draw an agreement and offer a final assessment for all problems with law. Yet, academically, it could provide opportunities for legal students to gain and continuously practice analytical skills, the strategy misses actual real-world application. It's when the hands-on side of education enters action. This serves as the argument for having an adequate amount of judicial syllabus that provides both practical as well as theoretical legal understanding (Franz, 2023).

In many jurisdictions, students of law courses must engage not solely in theoretic classroom studying laws but additionally through a time of practical learning known as the attachment program. This could be done in legal departments such as law firms, courts, tribunals, law enforcement, legal advice organizations, audits, and many more. To precisely evaluate the extent of practical knowledge alongside the law in such organizations, students of law need to be assigned a position of "performing" legal personnel in dealing with various purely legal and administrative matters at the workplace in which it's set up (Singh, 2022).

Following the completion of legal education courses, most students pursue judicially linked occupations or professions. Being able to apply the law and communicate clearly and convincingly is fundamental in addressing the demands of a position in law. Aside from that, having the ability to respond with immediate answers to legal inquiries is vital whenever presenting a court session. Equally vital is the capacity to guarantee transparency and integrity in relationships with customers. Communicating effectively is important for advocating accomplishment (Unger et al., 2024).

This additionally becomes important to follow ethical standards in law. Based on the least basic through the utmost sophisticated: to maintaining proper trial attire to dealing with more intricate legal concerns like negligence by professionals, just to mention a few. This emphasizes the importance of competent, efficient, and skilled CLEP in higher education. To rely solely on judicial engagement to produce outstanding students is a glaring shortcoming in the field of legal education (Zahoor et al., 2021)

In addition to benefiting law students, CLEP assists individuals of the community who require justice but cannot afford it. Despite anyone may lack confidence, academics or law teachers have a responsibility to guarantee their students have been efficient to handle the requisite “stuff” prior presenting judicial services it to clients (Unger et al., 2024). As a result, CLEP is only a component of excellent legal education, supplemental to the Socratic method.

Finally, this article’s perspective on the official setting up of a specific legal clinic is based on a variety of variables. For example, in today’s China, the large number of litigants necessitates seeking aid from legal institutions. A further objective could be to offer rights to poor people of the society. One might additionally contend that a legal clinic is required to provide students taking law courses with professional and effective advocacy to ensure that justice is served. For instance, assuming a university pupil apprentices as an attorney’s representative and stutters in the presence of the defendant in courtroom. This might diminish his reliability as a lawyer in the perspective of the client, and even in the court.

2. Literature Review

CLEP constitutes a revolutionary approach to learning and is typically used in university-based curriculum of law schools to assist in generating more competent, more compassionate, and more moral practitioners of law (Bengtsson & A’Court, 2023; Wilson, 2018; Chavkin, 2002). It serves as a system of education with profoundly beneficial community implications. It involves interactions with several organizations, government and nonprofit organizations, or the law enforcement body, leading to a better result (Unger et al., 2024; Franz, 2023; Zahoor et al., 2021; Sarker, 2015).

On top of that, CLEP is an academic endeavor since students gain valuable skills by practicing. The hands-on problem-solving skills method technique requires students to take an active role throughout both realistic client/personal interaction and simulation sessions meant to simulate real-world client/personal situations (Singh, 2022). This phenomenon is an extremely effective kind of educational achievement; in contrast to traditional memorization conditions, students can grasp and recall a large amount of knowledge offered by teaching others as well (Unger et al., 2024).

At the same time, CLEP helps students improve their ability to think strategically, apply meaningful juridical concepts to everyday occurrences, acquire knowledge, and conduct investigations while extending assumptions and constitutional clarifications (Ryan, 2021; Chavkin, 2002). A CLEP is meant to assist students studying law in comprehending and accepting their obligations as individuals in the general population to serve in handling the affairs of legal services, preserving the fundamental principles of legislation, and ensuring a fair distribution of judgment in the public at large (Bengtsson & A’Court, 2023; du Plessis, 2021). This experiential learning not only enhances students’ practical skills but also instills a sense of social responsibility, compelling them to consider the broader implications of their work in the legal field.

Furthermore, CLEP is a unique method towards receiving legal education which goes beyond typical educational experiences by combining real-world experience and knowledge of theory (Singh, 2022; Madhloom & Antonopoulos, 2021). Law students engage in real-world litigation pursuant to the guidance of professional practitioners or academics (Sharma, 2021). The fundamental purpose of CLEP is to improve critical abilities in law like include individual conducting an interview, litigation research, and judicial advocacy, but simultaneously promoting a better awareness of ethical obligations and ethical behavior in the profession (Hung, 2024).

Similarly, CLEP encourages learning through reflection, in which students evaluate the lessons learned and investigate the relationship underlying theory along with practice. For instance, students frequently work with impoverished peoples to provide legal assistance for issues including immigration, familial law, and defense of crimes (Unger et al., 2024; Bengtsson & A’Court, 2023; Singh, 2022; du Plessis, 2021). Eventually, CLEP fosters a more capable and socially conscious legal community through connecting the divide across academic studies and the practicalities of judicial profession. It does this by providing aspiring attorneys with the resources they need to manage the difficulties of the justice system. So, to adequately prepare students for the challenges encountered in contemporary practice of law, CLEP has become an essential part of the legal curriculum today.

Evidently, Vertsman (2023) reports that most China’s colleges and universities have adopted the CLEP. This also turns out as China benefits from the current CLEP. According to the study, judicial scholars and students prefer educational institutions unaffected by bureaucracy or political influence. It should be emphasized that the study has used

a description of CLEP, which is a means for education utilizing experiential and contemplative methods to provide learners of law with the hands-on knowledge and abilities that are required to succeed in legal aid and an equitable society. One of the issues China's academic institutions are facing is the lack of a single curriculum for teaching every legal course employing the CLEP.

For example, in the study by Li et al. (2021), the concept of CLEP can investigate, among other things, the information and proof regarding the growing number of voluntary legal endeavors in legal education programs at institutions throughout Europe and Welsh. Assistance centers have been demonstrated to be helpful and advantageous for everyone in addition to offering a venue for college students can gain legal training, according to their study: equitable treatment for all. Since the CLEP's implementation, there has been a decline in legal assistance case management numbers, particularly in civil and familial proceedings. It is worth noting that this investigation reports on the results of legal assistance clinic activities, which include judicial results, competency effects (addressed judicial concerns), and wellness effects (the following phase will be appearing in judgment).

In the same region, Pandey et al. (2024) uncovered and stated amid several other topics, the genesis of CLEP throughout the COVID-19 pandemic. According to the study, CLEP utilizes the intellectual and emotional faculties of individuals. The study also mentioned the development of CLEP in India. It is believed that CLEP is an uncommon phenomenon in India. As a result, each of the Indian law institutions are now required to have legal clinics. The commencement of legal clinics in India is after the emergence of such clinics in the United States and other countries. Initially, law students must complete certain procedural law subjects offered by the State Bar Council.

The study also indicates that after this, Indian students must undergo one-year practical training. Upon finishing this, an attachment must be made to the Chamber of Senior Advocates. Whilst during the time of the pandemic of COVID-19, CLEP was not addressed using technology. This situation occurs due to legal clinics in India began following those developed in the USA alongside other nations. Initially, students who study law must finish some legal procedure courses provided by the State Bar Council. Following that, students must complete a full year of hands-on experience. When finished, a chambering is required to be submitted to the Chamber of Senior Advocates. While the COVID-19 epidemic is continuous, CLEP failed to deal with technological advances.

However, a study by Li (2024) reveals that the legal CLEP classes brought in by nations other than China, juridical assistance in educational institutions plays a crucial role in providing feasible knowledge of law. This indicates that the system of legal aid in Chinese universities has become a valuable addition to the country's existing constitutional assistance mechanism. Undoubtedly, the juridical aid structure at Chinese colleges and universities has limits resulting from the way it is structured, and the legal clinic program is incompatible with the current educational framework. The legal assistance procedure at higher learning institutions, as well as legal CLEP, is parallel and symmetric within the context of judicial educational institutions, allowing both to be closely linked in the understanding provision levels.

In the same thoughts, Tam (2019) endorsed and argued for the insufficiency of the Socratic approach in instructional and educational legal courses. A total of minimum two approaches typically employed in law educational institutions: the Socratic approach and the approach of experiential learning. The earlier type is a low-cost educational program in which the judicial educators emphasize lectures in class and recommends students to literature that solves legal concerns. This strategy has an adverse psychological effect on students studying law, while creating an impression of inadequacy in legal educators regarding abilities and skills. But the experiential learning approach provides legal students with an understanding of handling a client's lawful concerns. Legal students will gain the capacity to grasp how a real-life performing legal professional should behave along with the moral decisions to make using this CLEP learning approach.

Similarly, Liu (2022) elaborated on the insufficiency of the Socratic method in presenting an optimum law curriculum to law students in his study of The Realistic Dilemma and Optimal Path of China's Postgraduate Legal Education System, among other things. This strategy includes, amid others, posing significant inquiries to legal students and directing them towards recommendations. This study also advanced the argument that present legal curriculum must evolve in accordance with the modern predicament, which includes the use of digital tools in CLEP pedagogy. According to study, legal students primarily acquire legal information online, or digitally. It is worth noting that this study defines experiential learning as a mixture of concepts and applications through the combination of classroom research and hands-on experience in CLEP.

In advance of this, Hubacher (2021) changed the goal of the legal education and the syllabus, which is instructed, shifting to a curriculum design based primarily on acquiring knowledge to an approach that emphasizes the analysis of an enormous quantity of data, problem-solving, and skills in analysis. Reviving the Socratic approach to educating is important given the current pedagogical approach, particularly given today's constantly evolving social contexts and standards. This strategy might produce legal professionals who are capable of understanding, applying, and ultimately developing the system of legislation to exceed expectations. This study recommends an adaptive approach to subsequent legal studies in civil law-based nations, with an emphasis on comprehensive awareness of the legal framework instead of the replication of memorized information.

On the other hand, Abram (2021) has authored an intriguing paper on Legal Education's Curricular Tipping Point Toward Inclusive Socratic Teaching in the USA. Among of the intriguing arguments, she makes in her article is that for institutions in the USA to be accredited by the American Bar Association, institutions should provide CLEP to legal students. Clinical academics need to be granted permanent employment. As states as the primary responsibility of CLEP

educators is to train legal students and be present at court hearings when necessary. She has also noted how issues frequently come up in the thoughts of legal learners as they offer their clinical services to clients. It may prompt people to think about their performance. Her post emphasizes the importance of hiring extra judicial instructors to ensure legal CLEP functions well.

In Kuehn and Santacroce (2021), a research study of clinical legal literacy at maturity discloses, among other topics, the history of CLEP in the USA beginning in 2007 and comparing those findings with studies from the 1970s. This investigation includes information gathered from 50 years of legal clinic and field experience programs. With this information, this study offers a statistical picture of legal school clinical courses from the dawn of the 1970s to the present. It additionally looks at individuals who educate legal clinics as well as field-based programs, offering information about their careers, privileges, and obligations throughout the larger judicial academia. Finally, it investigates the development, dimensions, organization, along with information of legal clinic programs, as well as field-based courses. This extensive research study demonstrates clinical legal educational phenomenal development and transformation spanning the last 50 years. It additionally offers academics with data for a necessary factual discussion about the importance of hands-on legal education in educating students for legal practice.

Alexander's (2023) study found that students lack confidence right before interacting with CLEP and demonstrated for the initial moment that CLEP improved employment by instilling confidence and an image of professionalism. Certain judicial abilities, such as interacting with clients, concerns about ethics, and incorporating legal knowledge in the practice of law, remain problematic. This is caused by an array of problems, including a shortage of judicial specialists, financing, materials, timing, and visibility. Higher learning institutions, on the various other hand, still include CLEP into their curriculum structures to remain viable. Finally, this investigation gives suggestions and unveils a unique employment framework that may be applied with CLEP students to teach people about the influence of CLEP on employability. The concept can be utilized to improve the educational experience by clearly defining the advantages and goals of CLEP from an employment standpoint.

In a nutshell, CLEP has emerged globally as a pivotal component of legal training, fostering hands-on experience alongside traditional academic learning. This educational approach allows law students to engage directly with clients and real cases, thereby enhancing their legal skills and ethical understanding. Around the world, institutions have recognized the importance of experiential learning, with various models of CLEP adapting to local legal contexts and societal needs. Programs often focus on areas such as human rights, public interest law, and community service, allowing students to contribute positively to their communities while developing practical competencies. Human rights, public interest law, and community service are common areas of focus in programs, allowing students to meaningfully contribute to their communities while learning practical skills.

In China, for example, the incorporation of CLE into legal education has gained traction, owing in part to the country's developing legal landscape. Chinese law schools have begun integrating clinical programs to improve students' employability and satisfy the demands of an increasingly complicated legal system. These programs are frequently tied to social justice projects and attempt to provide students with valuable skills such as negotiating, litigation, and client counselling. CLEP functions as a link between theory and practice, helping students, the legal profession, and society. As CLEP evolves globally and in China, it is poised to play a critical role in determining the future of legal education and practice.

3. Results and Discussion

CLEP is a type of practice-based educational program for judicial curriculum. It encompasses the implementation of the conceptual parts of legal education towards "real-life" practical situations. This kind of teaching takes several forms, including legal muttering, client emotional support, and merely providing legal counsel to clients seeking need of legal representation. CLEP is regarded as an outstanding demonstration of hands-on education in practice. Eventually, graduates in a CLEP scenario receive exposure to the realistic feelings of clients, the ability to gather important information of clients, be truthful with the client while delivering counsel, regardless of if it is acceptable with the client or not, and a variety of additional legal practical skills. CLEP broadens legal students' educational capabilities by combining relational, logical, and persuasive abilities with trustworthiness, principles, and working practices to create an image of professionalism (Hung, 2024; Vertsman, 2023; Singh, 2022; Madhloom & Antonopoulos, 2021; Tam, 2019).

Based on CLEP studies, such kind of educational program began in the USA as the sixties followed by Australia on the seventies as response to an absence of legal representation of the impoverished. The cases of *Gideon v. Wainwright* 372 US 335 (1963) and the Ford Foundation were two important drivers in the development of CLEP in the USA. The court's verdict in the case raised severe concerns as to how many of the convicts will be retained in the courtroom in defending themselves. Indeed, judges, professional lawyers, and judicial academics regarded it as an extremely concrete lawful dilemma, therefore components of a copyright picture solicited support commencing school of law to offer the necessary constitutional representation. A further motivator is the Ford Foundation, which has provided cash to support legal aid programs throughout the USA of Americans. For example, during 1965, the Association of American Law Schools (AALS) received the sum of six million dollars to boost the programs of the National Council on Legal Clinics. It also gave financing to offer CLEP to Americans with the goal of assisting the needy with legal representation. Several countries, including India, have embraced a clinical method to legal education following its initial introduction in the

USA. The justification for this is considering Indian legal education regulatory organizations have determined that the educational curriculum is insufficient for producing outstanding practitioners (Pandey et al., 2024; Kuehn & Santacroce, 2021; Abram, 2021).

3.1 Conceptualizing CLEP

CLEP in higher education institutions acts as a link between theoretical knowledge and practical application in the subject of law. This pedagogical technique immerses students in real-world legal situations, allowing them to apply classroom knowledge to actual legal issues while under the supervision of experienced practitioners. CLEP programs aim to help students develop practical skills such as legal analysis, written communication, advocacy, and client engagement, resulting in a better grasp of the legal system.

Indeed, students acquire significant real-world experience in engaging in activities such as legal clinics, internships, and simulations, which improves their readiness for professional practice. Furthermore, CLEP emphasizes the significance of social justice, urging students to serve back to the society by giving legal assistance to marginalized groups. Integrating CLEP into higher education not only enriches students' learning experiences, but it also fosters a generation of competent, ethical, and socially responsible legal practitioners.

Fundamentally, studies that have investigated CLEP have offered a variety of different explanations. The easiest way to describe this is that it involves an exploration of legal subjects through actual or simulation litigation, which gives students the chance of experiencing the laws in action and then considering what they have acquired through what they experienced. CLEP is an instructional method designed for teaching students the way to acquire knowledge deliberately through work experience, while also educating students in a larger range of juridical studies along with legal abilities beyond solely the theories demonstrated in law courses (Vertsman, 2023; Wilson, 2018; Fahy & van Eechoud, 2018; Chavkin, 2002; Amsterdam, 1984).

A different interpretation, corresponding to yet another study, is a method for education with an objective of offering legal students the real-world knowledge, competencies, as well as principles for implementing legal advocacy and justice for all, which can be executed through experiential and analytical approaches. Aside from the foregoing, an additional investigation describes CLEP as a charitable organization law firm that is typically geared toward helping the general population or an assortment of persons within society that have become impoverished or have limited accessibility towards the legal justice system. CLEP additionally applies to a type of pedagogy which immerses students in a real-world juridical setting or circumstance, including roles serving as an attorney, advocate, intermediary, or legislative drafter; this is known as role-based educational programs (Unger et al., 2024; Alexander, 2023; Liu, 2022; Abrams, 2021; Irsyad & Divya, 2020; Tam, 2019).

Ultimately, conceiving clinical legal education throughout higher education institutions is critical for eliminating the disparity between theoretical knowledge and real-world application. By exposing students to everyday legal issues, CLEP provides students with critical skills and a better grasp of the legal system in general. This hands-on approach not only improves students' preparation for professional activity, but it also fosters a feeling of social responsibility. Finally, incorporating CLEP into higher education promotes the development of competent, ethical, and community-focused legal professionals, ensuring that they are well-equipped to navigate and contribute effectively to the transforming judicial system around the globe.

3.2 Pedagogical Differences Between Socratic Method and Experiential Learning

The educational distinctions that differentiate the Socratic approach and experiential learning represent two distinct approaches to education. The Socratic approach, based on dialogue and inquiries, promotes intellectual inquiry by encouraging students to explore and articulate concepts via extensive discourse. Experiential learning, on the other hand, prioritizes hands-on activities, permitting students to acquire knowledge directly and apply principles in practice. This method encourages active engagement and practical problem-solving abilities. While the Socratic approach emphasizes analytical and reasoning skills through theoretical conversation, experiential learning strives to improve practical competence and flexibility by immersing students in realistic, real-life experiences.

Nevertheless, the pedagogical distinctions between the Socratic method and practical learning in CLEP demonstrate two separate ways to foster legal proficiency in higher education institutions. The Socratic approach, the foundation of conventional legal studies, includes educators asking insightful inquiries of students, encouraging students to reflect strategically and clarify the causes. This approach focuses on logically analyzing legal concepts, and the theoretical foundation of the law. Students gain the ability to deconstruct complicated legal issues, generate effective disagreements, and argue intellectually via critical discourse. The Socratic method's major purpose is to improve students' intellectual abilities, encourage creative problem solving, and expand the knowledge of judicial principles (Getachew, 2024; Rose & Maylea, 2023; Spencer, 2022; Dalim et al., 2022; du Plessis, 2021; Kleeger, 2019).

In contrast, CLEP learning through experience focuses on practicable, hands-on activities that bridge the divide within theory and application. This method involves students in actual legal circumstances, which include legal clinics, internships, and exercises in simulation. Under the guidance of competent professionals, students interact with actual clients, create documents related to law, undertake investigations, as well as defend cases. Experiential learning promotes

greater understanding of constitutional law by allowing students to connect theoretical insights to real-world challenges to the law. It focuses on developing skills in domains such as client engagement, mediation, public advocacy, and ethical decision-making while preparing students for working professionally (Ortiz, 2024; van Dorresteijn et al., 2023; Chan, 2023; Ryan, 2020; Giddings & Weinberg, 2020; Suslera & Babacan, 2019; Nayar & Kou, 2019).

Although the Socratic approach fosters critical thinking and understanding of theory, experiential learning focuses on practical skills and real-world applications. Both techniques serve as vital to a thorough legal education. By integrating these approaches, higher education institutions may produce well-rounded legal professionals who are not just knowledgeable about legal theory but also competent at negotiating the challenges of legal practice. By combining the qualities of both pedagogies, future legal experts will have the intellectual aptitude and practical experience students need to flourish in the profession as well as represent the community successfully.

In a nutshell, the pedagogical contrasts between the Socratic method and experiential learning in clinical legal education remain complementary, with each providing distinct advantages. The Socratic method develops critical thinking and theoretical comprehension, whereas experiential learning focuses on practical skills and real-world applications. Combining such approaches in higher education institutions results in a comprehensive legal education that prepares students to flourish both intellectually and practically. This integrated pedagogy guarantees that future legal professionals have the analytical skills and hands-on experience required to navigate and solve the difficulties of legal practice in an effective and ethical manner.

3.3 Aspirations of CLEP

In accordance with previously conducted research, CLEP has a variety of goals and purposes. With the goal of the present article, the author possesses in tandem synthesized the entirety of the following intentions or goals:

The first aspiration is to educate students about comprehensive scrutiny of law (Ortiz, 2024; Ashraf & Anwar, 2023; Welgemoed, 2020; Rodiyah, 2019). Students who learn legal concepts via CLEP receive exposure not just to all the theoretical considerations aspects of the curriculum, but towards the hands-on ones, in which the concepts they acquired through classroom lessons become applicable. The abilities of students might consequently advance and broaden since they gain greater practical experience dealing with rules and regulations. In providing legal representation, for instance, students' needs to link a legal theory to a legal situation in which the client needs legal assistance. Any fresh instances which arise will boost the capacity of students to apply the provisions of the law.

The second aspiration is to educate students on how to communicate with other people (Getachew, 2024; Hung 2024; Vertsman, 2023; Zahoor et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021; Nayar & Kou, 2019). CLEP helps students develop interaction abilities since they must interact with clients. Students will gain insight and skills for making the client consulting engagement into an interactive discussion as opposed to simply one person giving advice to others. To do this, the students will acquire and employ specific skills, such as questioning inquiries for obtaining the legal concern of a client in a way that is comfortable for the latter. Within this setting, students engage in concerns including undocumented individuals' entitlements, criminal legislation, the law of families, protection of customers legislation, real estate laws, landlord-tenant regulations, AIDS/HIV and legal issues, medical care privileges, inmates' entitlements, justice for juveniles, work and labor law, and fundamental necessities along with other competencies.

The third aspiration is to educate students in the ability to successfully and convincingly advocate clientele in real-world scenarios (Unger et al., 2024; Salameh, 2023; Singh, 2022; Teremetskyi & Duliba, 2021). CLEP students additionally get involved in a range of volunteering activities, which includes as organizations for women, HIV advocacy organizations, juvenile correctional facilities, charitable organizations, as well as additional agencies. Extensive and diverse courtroom encounters with various types of clients and legal challenges will subsequently strengthen the student's efficacy and trustworthiness in becoming a successful practitioner in everyday situations. It is consequently paramount that the practice-based legal education supplied to students covers a wide range of legislation. CLEP curriculum will inevitably provide classroom simulator courses centered around actual-life scenarios and possibly clinical experiences that includes dealing with actual clients. CLEP courses additionally feature overseen by qualified legal professionals and legal experts.

The fourth aspiration is to meet the standards necessary to adequately care for legal proceedings (Pandey et al., 2024; Madhloom & Antonopoulos, 2021; Thomas & Johnson, 2020; Tam, 2019). This is a result of CLEP, an innovative pedagogical concept and methodology which is commonly used in higher education via collaborative clinical experiences and practical classes which foster understanding by experiencing. For instance, in certain nations, legal students have occasionally been invited to participate in the administration of actual cases in courtrooms owing to a lack of legal officials, for example in *Gideon v. Wainwright* 372 US 335 (1963) and *Argersinger v. Hamlin* 407 US 25 (1972) in the United States. In this scenario, CLEP offers an efficient and lasting remedy that addresses the double-edged issue that both conceptually and practically restricts the provision of rights within the globe; this includes along with the opportunity to join a vibrant, mutually beneficial network devoted to helping, experiences, and supporting one another in conquering barriers to social equity.

The final point is that by rendering oneself available to handle additional pro bono experiences as they undergo in CLEP, students will get trained to exhibit more transparency in executing rights and assisting the legal process in obtaining rights (Franz, 2023; Cantatore et al., 2020). In the actual world, a legal professional's suit submitted in seeking

aid will incur certain costs, while the legal professional could occasionally administer the legal matter without charges, considered a sort of voluntary service or on a pro bono basis (Shaeef et al., 2024; Cantatore, 2020). Pro bono cases receive special attention in CLEP to ensure student will respect justice greatly during their studies as they join the world of law. Finally, CLEP connects law programs, members of the public, authorities, nonprofit organizations, and populations that might ordinarily lack the opportunity for legal representation. Furthermore, CLEP promotes ethical practice and provides students with real-world abilities for the future whereas benefiting impoverished groups nowadays (McConnell et al., 2024).

3.4 Benefits of CLEP

The first benefit is the fact that CLEP provides students with training and practical experience that will prepare them for the workforce (Rodiyah, 2019; Du Plessis, 2021; McConnell et al., 2024). The reason is that such CLEP offers students the opportunity to interact with clients in a real-world judicial setting. This will impart professional integrity and a deeper awareness of fairness. Students who have taken law courses will be better capable of delivering judgment in an even more efficient, competent, and timely manner in each circumstance.

The second benefit is that CLEP inspires students and improves their determination to pursue careers within the legal profession. This is especially true in commercial litigation situations, which are exceptionally challenging (Bengtson & A'Court, 2023; Hubacher, 2021) field of legislation. The presence of legal clinics instills pure happiness in the minds of university students, since they have no sense of "manipulated" or "deployed for cheap labor" when performing their relationships in legal offices.

The third benefit of CLEP is that it helps students evaluate potential job options (Getachew, 2024; Franz, 2023; Sharma, 2021). In this aspect, it indicates that the legal students' perspectives might be enlarged and altered based on each student's individual appraisal. For example, certain students may believe that seeking a job in the legal industry, which includes being a legal professional, is their goal. Another may discover that alternatives to legal options for employment, especially joining professionals in academia, may be more suitable for individuals.

The fourth benefit of CLEP is that it educates not merely real-world abilities for students but additionally the judicial values that must be followed whenever working following completion. Performing law in the real-world setting needs not merely an educated student's capacity for understanding legal uncertainties and then honestly handling the problem in courtrooms or by means of settlements, but additionally the rigorous adherence to ethical standards in law. A legal professional is an esteemed profession in a community or society as a whole; hence, the principles underlying the field of law shouldn't be violated (Alexander, 2023; Michael et al., 2020; Tam, 2019; Kotkin, 2019).

The fifth benefit of CLEP is that it not only serves in providing legal assistance to clients but rather additionally assists clients in determining what next action needs to be undertaken. CLEP, beyond other aspects, has an influence upon no fewer than three kinds of client-oriented benefits: legal consequences, capacity outcomes, and happiness results. Legal consequences, including resolved legal concerns or at least legal guidance given to clients, influenced the choice to take the case to court or not. The capacity outcome, for example, signifies that clients comprehend what the subsequent procedure in court entails. Happiness outcomes include lowering clients' levels of stress while helping clients feel more comfortable emotionally (Unger et al., 2024; Tomy, 2023; Rai et al., 2021).

3.5 Challenges of CLEP

The first challenge is that while the primary goal of legal clinics was to provide students with judicial competencies, the sheer number of clients must be constrained to enable effective execution. One straightforward instance is when there are just a few professional educators readily accessible, but a growing number of legal students enrolled. It follows that academics must guarantee the educational clinic's concentration is on teaching students rather than calculating the proportion of clients who visit the clinics or potentially benefit from the clinic's services. As such, clients served by a legal assistance clinic ought to be limited to a certain number to guarantee effective communication by academics for students and students towards clients (Bengtsson and A'Court, 2023; Joy, 2019; Amsterdam, 1984).

The second challenge is that legal CLEPS can occasionally be expensive to maintain given the close personal guidance of every legal student. CLEP utilizes a per-student monitoring system. As a result, the time commitment is significantly greater than that required to educate lots of students in a conventional educational setting. For example, in a typical educational setting, a smaller focus should be devoted to every single student. This is particularly visible when a student is representing the client. As such, CLEP must preserve an adequate student-to-legal educator proportion (van Dorresteijn et al., 2023; Thomas & Johnson, 2020).

The third challenge is that every academic in CLEP must devote adequate time to a specific subject, such as a topic, prior to continuing forward to the following one. This might jeopardize various fields of legislation that have never been adequately addressed through legal academics and have been explored by students who study law. The career advancement of students studying law is going to be compromised (Atkinson & Livings, 2023; Zahoor et al., 2021; Ukwueze & Obuka, 2019; Wilson, 2018).

The fourth challenges are that, while higher education institutions currently implement CLEP, this research has emphasized its limitations in terms of whether the hands-on abilities gained through such clinics will be beneficial

whenever law students entering the job market. At the same time, the evolving characteristics and demands of legal profession in conjunction with societal and technological advancements have an impact the educational approach of CLEP (Vertsman, 2023; Zahoor et al., 2021; Brooman & Stirk, 2020).

The final challenge is that, while CLEP offers privileges with clients who demand legal assistance or service, certain clients intuitively require the legal professionals to appear exceptionally skilled in offering recommendations to them. Practically, an individual would rely on a legal professional compared to a student studying law whenever it pertains to offering guidance on issues impacting their individual liberties, considering students absence the skills needed to solve real-life issues, construct analytical skills, and arrive at valid choices (Dalim et al., 2022; Rai et al., 2021; Soren, 2021).

4. Conclusion

CLEP is an invaluable resource for students studying law to put into practice the concepts of law that they learned in the typical classroom. It provides a variety of advantages that contribute to judicial academics' innovative instruction of students. It has been proposed that incorporating legal clinics in higher education institutions is critical for an array of considerations. Numerous higher education institutions in many different countries may require CLEP, attributable to an absence of legal professionals, such as defense attorneys, who have experience representing accused defendants. Others may require it for helping poor residents of a given country obtain equitable adjudication. In the end, a good, methodical, and comprehensive legal clinic is essential for producing efficient, righteous, authentic, and exceptionally competent legal professionals.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the fellow authors and organizations whose intellectual properties were utilized for this study.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Amsterdam, A. G. (1984). Clinical legal education: A 21st century perspective. *Journal of Legal Education*, 34(4), 612-618.
- Abrams, J. R. (2021). Legal Education's Curricular Tipping Point toward Inclusive Socratic Teaching. *Hofstra Law Review*, 49(4), 897-943.
- Alexander, J. (2023). Modelling employability through clinical legal education: building confidence and professional identity. *The Law Teacher*, 57(2), 135–154. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03069400.2023.2179306>
- Ashraf, Z. & Anwar, S. (2023). The clinical legal education (CLE): A less travelled pathway in Pakistan. *Journal of Law & Social Studies*, 5(1), 82-92. <https://doi.org/10.52279/jlss.05.01.01.8292>
- Atkinson, M. & Livings, B. (2023). Atkinson, M., & Livings, B. (Eds.). (2023). *Contemporary Challenges in Clinical Legal Education: Role, Function and Future Directions*. Taylor & Francis.
- Bengtsson, L., & A'Court, B. (2023). The Law in the Community Model of Clinical Legal Education: Assessing the Impact on Key Stakeholders. *Int'l J. Clinical Legal Educ.*, 30, 1-54. <https://doi.org/10.19164/ijcle.v30i2.1327>
- Brooman, S., & Stirk, S. (2020). Who am I?: Using reflective practice and self-determination to redefine 'employability' in legal education. *Liverpool Law Review*, 41, 79-98. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10991-020-09240-5>
- Cantatore, F. (2020). Pro Bono in Law Schools: Tracking the effect of pro bono service in an Australian university law clinic. *International Journal of Clinical Legal Education*, 27(1), 101-136. <https://doi.org/10.19164/ijcle.v27i1.878>
- Cantatore, F., McQuoid-Mason, D. J., Geldres-Weiss, V. & Guajardo-Puga, J. (2020). A comparative study into legal education and graduate employability skills in law students through pro bono law clinics. *The Law Teacher*, 55(3), 1-23.
- Chan, C. K. Y. (2023). *Assessment for experiential learning* (p. 379). Taylor & Francis.
- Chavkin, D. F. (2002). *Clinical legal education: A textbook for law school clinical programs*. Kentucky: Anderson Publishing Company.
- Dalim, S. F., Ishak, A. S., & Hamzah, L. M. (2022). Promoting Students' Critical Thinking Through Socratic Method: The Views and Challenges. *Asian Journal of University Education*, 18(4), 1034-1047. <https://doi.org/10.24191/ajue.v18i4.20012>

- Du Plessis, M. A. (2021). The role of clinical legal education in developing ethical legal professionals. *De Jure Law Journal*, 54(1), 278-297. <http://dx.doi.org/10.17159/2225-7160/2021/v54a17>
- Fahy, R. & van Eechoud, M. (2015). *Clinical legal education: A review of the literature*. University of Amsterdam: Institute for Information Law.
- Franz, H. (2023). Clinical Legal Education as an Instrument to Address Access to Justice: A Critical Analysis of the Clinical Movement in Germany. *Int'l J. Clinical Legal Educ.*, 30, 133-158. <https://doi.org/10.19164/ijcle.v30i3.1279>
- Getachew, T. (2024). Fostering creativity in low-engagement students through Socratic dialogue: An experiment in an operations class. *International Journal of Education Management*, 22(1), 100901.
- Giddings, J., & Weinberg, J. (2020). Experiential legal education: Stepping back to see the future. In Denvir, C. (Ed.) *Modernizing legal education*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hubacher, K. M. (2021). The legacy of COVID-19: Revivifying the Socratic method for the benefit of legal education in civil law countries. *Journal of Ethics and Legal Technologies*, 3(1), 1-23. <https://doi.org/10.14658/pupj-JELT-2021-1-3>
- Hung, A. H. (2024). Reexamining the integrative approach to legal ethics education: the case of Hong Kong as an Asian financial center. *Legal Ethics*, 26(2), 219-237. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1460728x.2024.2323249>
- Joy, P. A. (2019). Challenges to Legal Education, Clinical Legal Education, and Clinical Scholarship. *Clinical L. Rev.*, 26, 237-262.
- Kleeger, J., & Law, C. J. (2019). Implementing A Meaningful & Effective Legal Education Reform. *Journal of Commonwealth Law and Legal Education Vol*, 13(1), 1-25.
- Kotkin, M. J. (2019). Clinical legal education and the replication of hierarchy. *Clinical L. Rev.*, 26, 287.
- Kuehn, R. R., & Santacroce, D. A. (2021). An Empirical Analysis of Clinical Legal Education at Middle Age. *J. Legal Educ.*, 71, 1-47.
- Li, L. (2023). The interaction between the legal aid system and legal clinic education in Chinese universities based on the perspective of legal knowledge supply. *International Journal of Education and Humanities*, 11(3), 540-544. <https://doi.org/10.54097/ijeh.v11i3.15167>
- Li, L., Guo, J. & Wang, L. (2021). Realistic reflection on clinic legal education in China. *International Journal of Arts and Social Science*, 4(1), 156-164.
- Liu, X. (2022). The Realistic Dilemma and Optimal Path of China's Postgraduate Legal Education System. *Journal of Higher Education Research*, 3(6), 452-454. <https://doi.org/10.32629/jher.v3i6.1094>
- Madhloom, O. & Antonopoulos, I. (2021). Clinical legal education and human rights values: A universal pro forma for law clinics. *Asian Journal of Legal Education*, 1(2), 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23220058211051031>
- McConnell, S., Dunn, R. & Bengtsson, L. (2024). Policy clinics across the globe: Development, impact and collaboration. *International Journal of Clinical Legal Education*, 1(1), 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.19164/ijcle.2024.1470>
- Michael, T., Budiono, A. R. & Fadli M. (2020). Legal interpretation will degrade president's martabate and/vice president in achieving legal satisfaction. *Technium Social Sciences Journal*, 12(1), 71-76. <https://doi.org/10.1215/00318108-2749760>
- Nayar, B., & Kou, S. (2019). Blended learning in higher education: a transition to experiential classrooms. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 34(9), 1357-1374. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-08-2019-0295>
- Ortiz, J. D. (2024). The need to make clinical teaching mandatory as part of the experiential methodology to prepare students for the practice of law in the twenty-first century. *UIC Law Review*, 57(4), 696-742.
- Pandey, A., Chandra, S., & Moti, S. (2024). Clinical legal education and access to justice during and beyond COVID-19: Some reflections of Indian experience. *Asian Journal of Legal Education*, 11(1), 7-19. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23220058231207985>
- Rai, P., Cavaliere, L. P. L., Faccia, A., Khalil, A. & De Lucia, C. (2021). Conceptualizing clinical legal education in legal pedagogy of India: Significance and challenges. *Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education*, 12(11), 6198 – 6205.
- Rodiyah, R. (2019). Clinical legal education in Indonesia objectives and advantages. *The Indonesian Journal of International Clinical Legal Education*, 1(1), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.15294/icccl.v1i01.20801>

- Rose, M., & Maylea, C. (2023). The case for implementing legal clinical supervision within legal practice, and recommendations for best practice. *Griffith Law Review*, 32(3), 259–285. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10383441.2023.2260731>
- Ryan, F. (2021). Rage against the machine? Incorporating legal tech into legal education. *The Law Teacher*, 55(3), 392–404. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03069400.2020.1805927>
- Ryan, F. (2020). A virtual law clinic: a realist evaluation of what works for whom, why, how and in what circumstances? *The Law Teacher*, 54(2), 237–248. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03069400.2019.1651550>
- Salameh, N. (2023). Essential or supportive? Legal education, legal aid and the Sustainable Development Goals. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 9(2), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2023.2275432>
- Sarker, S. P. (2015). *Clinical legal education in Asia: Accessing justice for the underprivileged*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Shaebe, O., Aduak, Y., Chukwuemeka, M. & School, N. (2024). Clinical legal education and the future of pro-bono in Nigeria: A guarantee for access to justice for accused persons awaiting trial. *International Journal of Clinical Legal Education*, 31(1), 80–125. <https://doi.org/10.19164/ijcle.v31i1.1347>
- Sharma, S. (2021). Clinical legal education in India: An analysis. *Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Review*, 2(3), 145–154.
- Singh, R. K. (2022). Clinical Legal Education - A Robust Instrument for Attainment of Justice: An Indian Perspective. *Asian Journal of Legal Education*, 9(1), 7–22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09717218211030784>
- Soren, C. (2021). Legal education in India: An analytical study. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 8(4), 924–947.
- Spencer, R. (2022). Hell is other people: Rethinking the Socratic method for quiet law students. *The Law Teacher*, 56(1), 90–104. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03069400.2021.2005305>
- Suslera, O. & Babacan, A. (2019). Embedding graduate resilience into legal education for a disrupted 21st century. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 15(1), 18–33.
- Tam, M. Y. (2019). Measuring law students' attitudes towards and experiences of clinical legal education at the university of Hong Kong. *International Journal of Clinical Legal Education*, 27(1), 47–91. <https://doi.org/10.19164/ijcle.v27i1.890>
- Teremetskyi, V. & Duliba, Y. (2021). The peculiarities of the activity of legal clinics in the states of Europe. *Legal Science and Praxis*, 2, 37–42.
- Thomas, L. & Johnson, N. (2020). *The clinical legal education handbook*. London: University of London Press.
- Tomy, M. (2023). Legal education: education of recurring legal needs or habits in reality? *Russian Journal of Agricultural and Socio-Economic Sciences*, 6(138), 26–31. <https://doi.org/10.18551/rjoas.2023-06.05>
- Ukwueze, F. & Obuka, U. (2019). Challenges and strategies for sustainable clinical legal education in Nigeria. *The Law Teacher*, 54(3), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03069400.2019.1690343>
- Unger, A., Evans, C., Russell, A. & Bond, M. (2024). Evaluating the academic benefits of clinical legal education: An analysis of the final average marks of five cohorts of LSBU LLB Graduating Students. *International Journal of Clinical Legal Education*, 31(1), 206–227. <https://doi.org/10.19164/ijcle.v31i1.1461>
- van Dorresteijn, C., Cornelissen, F. & Volman, M. (2023). Teacher experiences with online experiential legal education. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2023.2243443>
- Vertsman, K. G. (2023). Legal clinical education in China: A literature review. *Legal Education Review*, 33(1), 25–43.
- Welgemoed, M. (2020). Clinical legal education during a global pandemic -suggestions from the trenches: The perspective of Nelson Mandela University. *Potchefstroom Electronic Law Journal*, 23(1), 1–31.
- Wilson, R. J. (2018). *The global evolution of clinical legal education: More than a method*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Zahoor, R., Akhtar, N. & Habib, R. I. (2021). Clinical legal education and its implication on legal education system in Pakistan: Challenges and prospects. *Responsible Education, Learning and Teaching in Emerging Economies*, 3(1), 15–26. <https://doi.org/10.26710/relate.v3i1.1757>